Improving Bug Localization with an Enhanced Convolutional Neural Network

Yan Xiao, Jacky Keung, Qing Mi, Kwabena E. Bennin Department of Computer Science, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong



Outline

- Background
- Key limitation of existing techniques
- DeepLocator
- Experimental results
- Conclusions





Background

- Bug
 - coding mistakes that may induce unexpected or abnormal behaviors in the software project.
- Bug report
 - provide information about the scenarios in which the software does not behave as expected and how to reproduce this abnormal behavior.
- Bug localization
 - extract various information from the bug report, and then search through the source files to locate the potential buggy files that may be relevant to the bug.



Outline

- Background
- Key limitation of existing techniques
- DeepLocator
- Experimental results
- Conclusions





Key limitation of existing techniques

- Traditional features related with program analysis information
 - extract static features from the source code or execution information.
- Information Retrieval (IR) based approaches
 - measure the textural similarity between bug reports and source code (names of classes or methods).
 - this kind of information is more about the texts than semantic information.



Key limitation of existing techniques

- Machine Learning (ML) based approaches
 - match the topics of bug reports with those of source code or classify the source files to each bug report by using former fixed files.
- Deep learning based approaches
 - ➤ the recently proposed deep learning based model for bug localization is the combination of deep neural networks and IR techniques. The results are influenced by the performance of IR.
 - many DNN models are used together, which is essentially a very complex model that is hard to adjust the weights accurately.



Outline

- Background
- Key limitation of existing techniques
- DeepLocator
- Experimental results
- Conclusions





DeepLocator is composed of an enhanced CNN considering bug-fixing experience, together with proposed rTF-IDuF and pre-trained word2vec technique.

- employ CNN, a well-known deep learning model, to understand the underlying semantical information of the bug reports and source code.
- correlate the bug reports to the corresponding buggy files instead of textural similarity used in IR-based approaches.





Fig. 3. The Overall Workflow of DeepLocator.





Fig. 3. The Overall Workflow of DeepLocator.



revised TF-IDuF (rTF-IDuF)

$$TF-IDF$$

$$tf_{t,d} = f_{t,d} \quad idf_{t,d} = \log\left(\frac{N}{df_t}\right)$$

$$tf_{t,d} = \log(f_{t,d}) + 1$$

$$\omega_{tf-idf} = tf_{t,d} \times idf_{t,d}$$

TF-IDuF

$$tf_{t,d} = f_{t,d} \quad idf_{t,d} = \log\left(\frac{N_u}{n_{t,u}}\right)$$

 $\omega_{tf-idf} = tf_{t,d} \times idf_{t,d}$

rTF-IDuF
$$\omega_{tf-idf} = \left(\log(f_{t,d}) + 1\right) \times \log\left(\frac{N_u}{n_{t,u}}\right)$$





Fig. 3. The Overall Workflow of DeepLocator.





Fig. 3. The Overall Workflow of DeepLocator.





Fig. 3. The Overall Workflow of DeepLocator.



Enhanced CNN



Fig. 4. The Architecture of CNN for a Sentence. n is the number of words in the sentence and k is the vector dimension of each word that is tuned experimentally (details are shown in Figure 5).



Enhanced CNN





Fig. 3. The Overall Workflow of DeepLocator.



Outline

- Background
- Key limitation of existing techniques
- DeepLocator
- Experimental results
- Conclusions





Experimental dataset and evaluation metrics

Table I Subject Projects

Project	Time Range	# of Bug Reports	# of Fixed Buggy Files	Avg. # of Buggy Files per Bug
AspectJ	03/02-01/14	593	1,151	4.0
Eclipse UI	10/01-01/14	6,495	6,228	2.7
JDT	10/01-01/14	6,274	5,002	2.6
SWT	02/02-01/14	4,151	1,415	2.1
Tomcat	07/02-01/14	1,056	1,038	2.4





Questions

- What is the effect of rTF-IDuF and pre-trained word2vec on DeepLocator?
- What is the effect of model settings on DeepLocator?
 - \succ the size of filters
 - \succ the number of convolutional layers
- What is the performance of DeepLocator?
 - Enhanced CNN vs Conventional CNN
 - DeepLocator vs HyLoc



Property of rTF-IDuF.

AspectJ	Eclipse UI
Bug ID: 415266	Bug ID: 201616
Summary: Bug 415266 LTW not	Summary: Bug 201616
working when JMX is enabled.	[Min.Max] Need to be able to
Description: When I enable JMX	change the orientation of a
remote management on a JVM	minimized stack.
along with AspectJ load-time	Description: We currently use the
weaving (LTW), our Aspect	aspect ratio of the existing view
doesn't appear to get woven in.	stack to set the orientation to be
This are the JVM arguments	used when showing the view as
	fast views. While this works in
	most cases there are enough
Description: When I enable JMX remote management on a JVM along with AspectJ load-time weaving (LTW), our Aspect doesn't appear to get woven in. This are the JVM arguments	 change the orientation of a minimized stack. Description: We currently use the aspect ratio of the existing view stack to set the orientation to be used when showing the view as fast views. While this works in most cases there are enough

Fig. 6. Bug Reports that Contain 'aspect' in Project AspectJ and Project Eclipse UI.

Table II Term Weights of 'aspect'

	TF-	-IDF	rTF-IDF			
DeepLocator	Bug 415266 in AspectJ	Bug 201616 in Eclipse UI	Bug 415266 in AspectJ	Bug 201616 in Eclipse UI		
'aspect'	7.36	3.09	1.48	6.99		



Impacts of Pre-trained Word2vec.



Fig. 7. Performance of Word2vec and Random Initialization along Dimension of Word Embedding.



Accuracy Under Different Model Settings.



Fig. 8. Performance of Different Filter Sizes.

Table II Accuracy and Time Consumption on Different Number of Layers

Project	One-lay	yer	Two-layer		
	F (%)	Time (s)	F (%)	Time (s)	
AspectJ	79.92	8.69	81.16	692.26	
Eclipse UI	84.13	8.83	85.58	689.83	
JDT	82.28	8.78	83.21	702.18	
SWT	83.61	7.93	84.71	682.61	
Tomcat	85.04	7.69	85.73	696.67	



Performance of Enhanced CNN.

Project	Model	P (%)	R (%)	F (%)	F_max (%)	F_min (%)
A	DeepLocator	77.37	80.23	79.92	81.21	77.94
Aspecij	CNN	57.83	53.49	55.57	60.42	52.79
Ealinga III	DeepLocator	82.37	84.58	84.13	85.96	79.19
Eclipse UI	CNN	55.35	61.62	59.29	67.17	49.22
JDT	DeepLocator	83.43	79.92	82.28	85.41	78.48
	CNN	58.79	61.46	60.85	65.49	54.23
SWT	DeepLocator	82.33	84.98	83.61	84.92	79.95
	CNN	73.37	75.49	73.84	76.39	69.98
Tomcat	DeepLocator	83.35	87.21	85.04	86.29	83.13
	CNN	58.01	58.62	58.39	63.59	52.81

Table III Accuracy of Two Models



Performance of Enhanced CNN.

Prediction Time	AspectJ	Eclipse UI	JDT	SWT	Tomcat
DeepLocator	25.7	29.9	45.1	9.1	7.9
CNN	26.4	28.3	43.6	9.2	7.7
HyLoc	144.0	126.0	198.0	108.0	60.0

Table IV Prediction Time (seconds) per Bug Report of Three Models

Table V MAP of DeepLocator and HyLoc on Five Projects

MAP	AspectJ	Eclipse UI	JDT	SWT	Tomcat
DeepLocator	0.34	0.42	0.45	0.40	0.54
HyLoc	0.32	0.41	0.34	0.37	0.52



Why does DeepLocator work?

• Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)

 \succ represent syntax and extract programming patterns of source code.

- Word embedding
 - map words into semantic vector space where similar words are close to each other.
- Enhanced CNN
 - correlate bug reports to buggy files including both linear and nonlinear relationships.
 - CNN model performs well in the semantic parsing field because of the convolving filters.



Outline

- Background
- Key limitation of existing techniques
- DeepLocator
- Experimental results
- Conclusions





Conclusions

- This paper proposed a deep learning based model, DeepLocator, for bug localization, which consisted of enhanced CNN, together with rTF-IDuF and pretrained word2vec.
- Some suggestions about how to build the model:
 - ➤ the necessity of using rTF-IDuF and pre-trained word2vec
 - ➢ filter size
 - ➤ the number of convolutional layers
- A set of experiments are conducted to validate the feasibility and effectiveness of DeepLocator for bug localization.



